	03-46		
	i	Approved by OMB 3060 - 0800 See instructions for	
4 I	And the second s	Submitted 01/10/2003	
		File Number:	
2a) If this request is for an Amendment or Withdrawal. currently on file with the FCC.	enter the File Number of the pending applicat	ion File Number:	
Pibl. File Bumbasa et coloted panding applications dures	other on the mile the ECC	<u>-</u>	
Type of Transaction		42 - 1997 by 1994 and 1994	
	Marie		
		NYAL.	
5a) Does this filing request a waiver of the Commission	prilog?	AWAL	
If Yes' attach an exhibit providing the rule numbers an	d explaining circumstances. No	} 	
5b) if a feeable waiver request is anached. multiply the sections and enter the result.			
6) Are attachments being filed with this application? Yes			
the assignor/transferor or affiliates of the assign included on this form and for which Commission			
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O			
		Economics up many	
(8) How will assignment of authorization or transfer of course required by applicable rule. attach as an exhibit a state any perlinent contracts, agreements. Instruments. certi	tement on how Control is to be assigned or tra		
9) The assignment of authorization or transfer 01 control	ol of license is: Voluntary	AN INC	
Licensee/Assignor Information			
10) FCC Registration Number (FRN): 0004521829		1974 Annual Company (1974 Annu	
11) First Name (if individual): MI:	Last Name: Suffix:	-110	
12) Entity Name (if not an individual): Meriwether Com	munications. LLC		
13) Attention To. Wayne M. Perry			
14) P.O. Box: And / Or	15) Street Address: 11400 SE 6th Street,		
16) City: Bellevue 19) Telephone Number: (425)519-3988	17) State: WA 18) Zip Code: 98004		

22) Race, Ethnicity, Gender of Assignor/Licensee (Optional)

21) E-Mail Address:

Race:	American Indian or Alaska	Asian:	Diani, an Africa	A-pringer	Native Ha	waiian or Other	A/hia.
nace.	Native:	<u> </u>	Black or Afric	an-American,	Pacific Isla	ander:	White:
	Hispanic or Latino:	Not Hispanic or Latino:					
Gender:	Female:	maie.					
	ror Information (for tra	nsfers of control o	nly)				
	ame (if individual):	М		Last Name:		Suffix:	
	Name (if not an individual):			Last Italiio.		//	
26) P.O. B		Aı	nd / Or	27) Street Ad	dress:		
28) City:				29) State:		30) Zip Code:	
	none Number:			32) FAX Num	ıber:		
33) E-Mail	Address:						
24)First.N	ame:	MI:	Last Name:		Suffix		
	any Name:	The state of the s	and Edge Hame.				
361P.O. B	ox	And / Or	37) Street Ad	ddress:			
38) City:			39) State:		40) Zi	p Code:	
41) Teleph	one Number:	FVII.		<u> </u>	- ** **		
	ssignee is a(n): Limited Liabi egistration. Number (FRN): 00		Wilson William Company				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- "	ame (if individual):		 Name:	#IO. 4			
	Name (if other than individual)	harmonia		#[Suf	Five		
50, / Memic	And the second s		The state of the s			A	
		A					
60) Compa	ny Name: Cingular Wireless	LLC					
) F.Q. BC			et Address: 5565	Glenridge C	onnector, §	Suite 17(W. 00
201 0:1- 44		A CONTRACT AND STREET				<u> </u>	
_	_						
				ay and training			***************************************

No.						No
Is the Assignee or Transferee a corporation of which more than one-fifth of the capital stock is owned of record or voted by alliens or I her representatives or by a foreign government or representative thereof or by any corporation organized under the away of a foreign country? No. No.	<u></u>		anen or the representa	anve or an anerr		N
Note: Note: Parameter P	71) is the /	Assignee or Transferee a c	orporation organized u	under the laws of any foreign go	vemment?	N
A) Has the Assignee or Transferee or any party to this application had any FCC station authorization. license or construction bermit revoked or had any application tor an initial. modification or renewal of FCC station authorization. license or construction bermit revoked or had any application tor an initial. modification or renewal of FCC station authorization. license construction license or Transferee or any party lo this application. or any party directly or indirectly controlling the Assignee or Transferee. Or any party to this application ever been convicted of a felony by any slate or federal court? It 'yes', attach exhibit explaining circumstances. (6) Has any court linally adjudged the Assignee or Transferee, or any party directly or indirectly controlling the Assignee or Transferee guilty of unlawfully monopolizing or altempting unlawfully lo monopolize radio communication, directly or indirectly, hrough control of manufacture or sale of radio apparatus, exclusive traffic arrangement, or any other means or unfair methods of competition? If 'Yes', attach exhibit explaining circumstances. (7) Is the Assignee or Transferee, or any party directly or indirectly controlling the Assignee or Transferee currently a party in my pending maner referred to in the preceding two items2. It. 'Yes', attach exhibit explaining circumstances. (8) Race, Ethnicity, Gender of Assignee/Transferee (Optional) American Indian or Alaska Asian: Black or African-American: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: White: Stander: Female: Male. Male.	aliens or th	neir representatives or by a	orporation of which mo foreign government o	ore than one-fifth of the capital s r representative thereof or by ar	stock is owned of record or voted by ny corporation organized under the	
No To the state of the state o					_	N
No To the state of the state o	74) Has the	e Assignee or Transferee o	r any party to this ann	olication had any FCC station au	thorization license or construction	7
Transferee. or any party to this application ever been convicted of a felony by any slate or federal court? It "Yes", attach exhibit explaining circumstances. (6) Has any court finally adjudged the Assignee or Transferee. or any party directly or indirectly controlling the Assignee or Transferee guilty of unlawfully monopolizing or attempting unlawfully lo monopolize radio communication. directly or indirectly, house communication or sale of radio apparatus, exclusive traffic arrangement, or any other means or unfair methods of competition? If "Yes" attach exhibit explaining circumstances. (7) Is the Assignee or Transferee. or any party directly or indirectly controlling the Assignee or Transferee currently a party in many pending maper referred to in the preceding two items 2. It "Yes", attach exhibit explaining circumstances. (8) Race, Ethnicity, Gender of Assignee/Transferee (Optional) Race: Asian: Black or African-American: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: White: Sthnicity: Hispanic or Latino: Not Hispanic or Latino: Male. Sender: Female: Male. Assignor/Transferor Certification Statements						No
hrough control of manufacture or sale of radio apparatus, exclusive traffic arrangement, or any other means or unfair methods of competition? If 'Yes' attach exhibit explaining circumstances. 77) Is the Assignee or Transferee. or any party directly or indirectly controlling the Assignee or Transferee currently a party in any pending maner referred to in the preceding two items? It 'Yes', attach exhibit explaining circumstances. 78) Race, Ethnicity, Gender of Assignee/Transferee (Optional) 78. American Indian or Alaska Native. 79. Black or African-American: 79. Bl	Transferee	e, or any party to this applic a	r any party lo this app ation ever been convid	lication. or any party directly or i cted of a felony by any slate or f	indirectly controlling the Assignee or ederal court? It 'Yes', attach exhibit	
(8) Race, Ethnicity, Gender of Assignee/Transferee (Optional) American Indian or Alaska Native. Not Hispanic or Latino: Sender: Female: Male. Male. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: White Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: Male.	through co	ntrol of manulacture or sale	e of radio apparatus, e	exclusive traffic arrangement, or		No
American Indian or Alaska Native. Asian: Black or African-American: Pacific Islander: White Sender: Female: Male. Male.						Ye
Asian: Black or African-American: Pacific Islander: White Stander: Female: Male. Sender: Female: Male. Asian: Black or African-American: Pacific Islander: White Pacific Islander: White Pacific Islander: White Pacific Islander: Pacific Islander: White Pacific Islander: White Pacific Islander: White Pacific Islander: Pacific Islander: White Pacific Islander: Pacific Islander: Pacific Islander: White Pacific Islander: Pacif	78) Race	e, Ethnicity, Gender o	of Assignee/Tran	nsferee (Optional)		
Sender: Female: Male. Assignor/Transferor Certification Statements	Race:		Asian:	Black or African-American:		White
ssignor/Transferor Certification Statements	Ethnicity:	Hispanic or Latino:				
	Gender:	Female:	Male.			
	Assigno	r/Transferor Certific	ation Statements	S		
ransferred until the consent of the Federal Communications Commission has been given, or (2) that prior Commission consent is no equired because the transaction is subject to streamlined notification procedures for proforma assignments and transfers by elecommunications Commers, See Memorandum Opinion and Order, 13 FCC Red. 6293(1998).	1) The Ass transferred required be	ignor or Transferor certifies until the consent of the Fe ecause the transaction is su	either (1) that the aut deral Communications bject to streamlined n	thorization will not be assigned of Commission has been given. on otification procedures for pro fo	or (2) that prior Commission consent irma assignments and transfers by	
The Assignor on Transferor certifies that all statements made in this application and in the exhibits, attachments, or indocuments accordingly by reference are material, are pan of this application, and are true, complete, correct, and made in good faith	2) The Ass	ignor o1 Transferor certifies	that all statements m	nade in this application and in the	e exhibits, attachments, or in docum	ents

Assignee/Transferee Certification Statements

79) Typed or Printed Name of Pany Authorized to Sign

MI: M

Last Name: Perry

81) Date: 01/10/03

First Name: Wayne

80) Title: Manager

Signature. Wayne M Perry

- 21 The Assignee or Transleree waives any claim to the use of any particular frequency or of the electromagnetic spectrum as against the regulatory power of the United States because of the previous use of the same, whether by license or otherwise, and requests an authorization in accordance with this application.
- 31 The Assignee or Transferee certifies that grant of this application would not cause the Assignee or Transferee to be in violation of any pertinent cross-ownership, attribution, or spectrum cap rule.*
- 'If the applicant has sought a waiver of any such rule in connection with this application. it may make this certification subject to the outcome of the waiver request.
- 4) The Assignee or Transferee agrees to assume all obligations and abide by all conditions imposed on the Assignor or Transferor under the subject authorization(s), unless the Federal Communications Commission pursuant to a request made herein otherwise allows, except for liability for any act done by, or any nght accured by, or any suit or proceeding had or commenced against the Assignor or Transferor prior to this assignment.
- 5) The Assignee or Transteree cenifies that all statements made In this application and in the exhibits, attachments. α in documents incorporated by reference are material, are part of this application, and are true, complete, correct, and made in good faith.
- **(b)** The Assignee or Transteree certifies that neither it nor any other party to the application is subject to a denial of Federal benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse ACI of 1998. 21 U.S.C. § 862. because of a conviction for possession or distribution of a controlled substance. See Section 1.2002(b) of the rules. 47 CFR § 1.2002(b), for the definition of 'party to the application' as lused in this certification.
- 7) The applicant certifies that it either (1) has an updated Form 602 on file with the Commission, (2) is filing an updated Form 602 Form 602 under the Commission's rules.

Mi: L	Last Name: Tacker	Suffix:
Signature: Carol L Tacker	84) Date: 01/10/03	
WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS MADE ON THIS FO IMPRISONMENT (U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001		

85) Call Sign 86) Radio 87Numation Service	88) Path Newphete 89) Numbers (I	90) Lower or 91) Upger Frequency Frequency (MHz) 92) Ves≴No	
KNLG935 AL		Yes	

FCC Form 603 Schedule A

Schedule for Assignments of Authorization and Transfers of Control in Auctioned Services

Approved by OMB 3060 - 0800 See instructions for public burden estimate

Assid	nments	of Auth	orization
70010	4111101160	OI AGUI	01 12uti011

	e category or a smaller category or rules governing the licenses issue	f eligibility for installment payments d to the Assignor)?	as the Assignor
If 'Yes', is the Assignee applying		-	
) Gross Revenues and T	otal Assets Information (i	required) (for assignments	of authorization only)
	•	• • •	• •
Year 1 Gross Revenues	or method to determine required gr	ross revenues and total assets Info	IIIauon
/current)	Year 2 Gross Revenues	Year 3 Gross Revenues	Total Assets.
		, ,	
3) Certification Statement			
or Assignees Claiming Eligibili	ty as an Entrepreneur Under the	General Rule	
Assignee certifies that they are e	ligible to obtain the licenses for wh	ich they apply.	
or Assignees Claiming Eligibili	ity as a Publicly Traded Corpo <u>ra</u> t	ion	
Assignee certifies that they are el	rgible to obtain the licenses for wh	ich they apply and that they comply	with the definition of a Public
Traded Corporation, as set out in			
or Assignees Claiming Eligibili	ty Using a Control Group Structu	ıre	
	ligible to obtain the licenses for wh		
	ant's sole control group member is		<u> </u>
solid in a spilot	The Doll strike, gleap		
or Assignage Claiming Eligibili	ty as a Very Small Rusiness Ver	v Small Business Concertium Si	mall Rusiness or as a Sma
•	ty as a Very Small Business, Ver	y Small Business Consortium, Si	mall Business. or as a Sma
usiness Consortium	·		mall Business. or as a Sma
Assignee certifies that they are el	idible to obtain the licenses for whi	ch they apply.	mall Business. or as a Sma
Assignee certifies that they are el	·	ch they apply.	mall Business. or as a Sma
Assignee certifies that they are el	idible to obtain the licenses for whi	ch they apply.	mall Business. or as a Sma
Assignee certifies that they are el	idible to obtain the licenses for whi	ch they apply a pre-existing entity, it applicable.	mall Business. or as a Sma
Assignee certifies that they are elementary that they meet that they meet	igible to obtain the licenses for white it is sole control group member is ty as a Rural Telephene Companthe definition of a Rural Telephone	ch they apply a pre-existing entity, it applicable. y Company as set out in the applica	
Assignees Claiming Eligibili Assignee certifies that they are elemostry to the application of Assignees Claiming Eligibili Assignee certifies that they meet	idible to obtain the licenses for whi and's sole control group member is by as a Rural Telephone Compan	ch they apply a pre-existing entity, it applicable. y Company as set out in the applica	
Assignee certifies that they are elemonical transitions or Assignees Claiming Eligibility Assignee certifies that they meet disclose all parties to agreement	igible to obtain the licenses for white it is sole control group member is ty as a Rural Telephene Companthe definition of a Rural Telephone	ch they apply a pre-existing entity, it applicable. y Company as set out in the applica	
Assignee certifies that they are elemented in a time application assignee certifies that they are elemented in a time application assignees Claiming Eligibility assignee certifies that they meet disclose all parties to agreement (inible to obtain the licenses for white it is sole control group member is ty as a Rural Telephene Companithe definition of a Rural Telephone is to partition licenses won in this a	ch they apply a pre-existing entity, it applicable. y Company as set out in the applica	
Assignee certifies that they are elemented or Assignees Claiming Eligibility Assignee certifies that they meet disclose all parties to agreement (Transfers of Control) Licensee Eligibility (for	ty as a Rural Telephone Companthe definition of a Rural Telephone s) to partition licenses won in this a	a pre-existing entity, it applicable. Y Company as set out in the application. See applicable FCC rules.	ble FCC rules, and mu®t
Assignee certifies that they are elemented or Assignee certifies that they are elemented or Assignees Claiming Eligibility Assignee certifies that they meet disclose all parties to agreement (ransfers of Control) Licensee Eligibility (for	ty as a Rural Telephone Companthe definition of a Rural Telephone s) to partition licenses won in this a	ch they apply a pre-existing entity, it applicable. y Company as set out in the applica	ble FCC rules, and mu®t
Assignee certifies that they are elemented in a signee certifies that they are elemented in a signee certifies that they meet disclose all parties to agreement ansfers of Control Licensee Eligibility (for as a result of transfer of control).	ty as a Rural Telephone Companthe definition of a Rural Telephone s) to partition licenses won in this a	a pre-existing entity, it applicable. Y Company as set out in the application. See applicable FCC rules.	ble FCC rules, and muist
Assignee certifies that they are elementary or Assignee certifies that they are elementary or Assignees Claiming Eligibility Assignee certifies that they meet disclose all parties to agreement (Transfers of Control) Licensee Eligibility (for as a result of transfer of control originally declared?	ty as a Rural Telephone Companies to partition of a Rural Telephone s) to partition licenses won in this a	a pre-existing entity, it applicable. Y Company as set out in the application. See applicable FCC rules.	ble FCC rules, and muit
Assignee certifies that they are elementary or Assignee certifies that they are elementary or Assignees Claiming Eligibility Assignee certifies that they meet disclose all parties to agreement (Transfers of Control) Licensee Eligibility (for as a result of transfer of control originally declared?	ty as a Rural Telephone Companies to partition of a Rural Telephone s) to partition licenses won in this a	a pre-existing entity, it applicable. Y Company as set out in the application. See applicable FCC rules.	ble FCC rules, and muit
Assignee certifies that they are elemostrate certifies that they are elemostrated in the application of Assignees Claiming Eligibility. Assignee certifies that they meet disclose all parties to agreement (fransfers of Control) Licensee Eligibility (for As a result of transfer of control originally declared?	ty as a Rural Telephene Companion the definition of a Rural Telephone s) to partition licenses won in this a transfers of control only) must the licensee now claim a large littly of the licensee is.	a pre-existing entity, it applicable. Y Company as set out in the application. See applicable FCC rules.	ble FCC rules, and muist
Assignee certifies that they are elemostrate certifies that they are elemostrate certifies that they are elemostrated certifies that they meet disclose all parties to agreement. Transfers of Control Licensee Eligibility (for As a result of transfer of control originally declared? If 'Yes' the new category of eligibility is certification Statement for Transfer of the ce	ty as a Rural Telephene Companion the definition of a Rural Telephone s) to partition licenses won in this a transfers of control only) must the licensee now claim a large littly of the licensee is.	ch they apply a pre-existing entity, if applicable. y Company as set out in the application. See applicable FCC rules. er or higher category of eligibility the	ble FCC rules, and muist

The copy resulting from Print Preview is Intended to be used as a reference copy only and MAY **NOT** be submitted to the FCC as an application for manual filing.

Attachment List

Attachment Type	Date	Description	Contents
Other	01/08/03	Exh A-Description of Transaction & Public Interest Statement	0178131274092550562073598.pdf
Other	01/08/03	Exhibit B - Response to Question 77	0178131904092550562073598.pdf

EXHIBIT A DESCRIPTION OF TRANSACTION AND PUBLIC JNTEREST STATEMENT

Lead Application Information

This application is one of fourteen applications being filed in connection with the full and partial assignment of licenses between subsidiaries of AT&T Wireless Services, Inc., subsidiaries of Cingular Wireless LLC, Meriwether Communications LLC, and Skagit Wireless. LLC. Applicants have designated the application being filed concurrently for the assignment of licenses from Ameritech Mobile Communications, LLC to AT&T Wireless Services of Hawaii, Inc. as the lead application for the transaction (ULS File No. 0001 146802). Accordingly, Applicants hereby incorporate by reference Exhibit A of the lead application.

RESPONSE TO QUESTION 77

Cingular Wireless LLC ("Cingular"), the real party in interest to the assignee, hereby submits this response to Question 77 of the FCC Form 603 concerning allegations against various indirect subsidiaries or affiliates of Cingular. While these cases may Call outside the scope of disclosures required by Question 77, they are nevertheless being reported out of an abundance of caution. In order to facilitate Commission's review of the pending litigation information, pages 3 and 4 of this exhibit are copies of the cases previously reviewed and approved for Cingular in connection with ULS File No. 0001085730, which was granted on December 28.2002. The current changes are underlined.

On March 7. 2000, In re Cellular Headquarters, Inc.; Cellular Headquarters, Inc. v. Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc., et al., No. 00-1067, was filed in the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff, a current sales agent, alleges a breach of the terms of his franchise agreement due to changes in the commission structure for outside sales agents, the alleged failure to "promote" the sales force through advertising, and anticompetitive steps towards outside sales agents. The court conducted a settlement conference in November. The December 10, 2002 trial date has been cancelled. The parties will seek the bankruptcy court's approval of a tentative settlement agreement.

On January 18, 2001, Westside Cellular. Inc. d/h/a Cellnet of Ohio v. New Par. Case No. 1:01CV0505, was tiled in Cuyahoga County, Ohio against the Cincinnati SMSA Limited Partnership ("CSLP"), AirTouch, Verizon, and others, for damages as a result of Defendants' alleged failure to offer to sell cellular services to Cellnet at the same rates as it sold such service to its retail affiliates. Plaintiffhad previously obtained an adverse order on the issue ofliability from the Ohio PUC against CSLP and AirTouch. A notice of appeal of the Ohio PUC decision was filed with the Ohio Supreme Court on June 25, 2001, asserting that the claims are preempted by federal law. On December 30, 2002, the Ohio Supreme Court affirmed the PUC order. rejecting Defendants' preemption arguments. The trial court likely will schedule trial for early 2003.

On November 6, 2001, Valley Cellular Inc. v. Cingular Wireless LLC, No. A442136, was filed in the District Court of Clark County, Nevada. Plaintiff is a former exclusive dealer of Defendant's products. On behalf of itself and similarly situated persons, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant inappropriately convened Plaintiff's business for itself by, among other things, opening retail locations immediately adjacent to Plaintiffs retail locations. Plaintiff alleges breach of contract, fraud. interference with prospective economic advantage, and conspiracy, including unfair competition. In response to a motion by Cingular. on February 14,2002, the Court ordered that the matter be resolved through binding arbitration pursuant to the parties' agency agreement. Although the Court declined to issue a preliminary injunction ordering Plaintiff to comply with the non-compete provision in the parties' agency agreement, it granted a

010303

FCC Form 603 Exhibit B Page 2 of 4

preliminary injunction enjoining Plaintiff from using Cingular's trademarks and confidential subscriber and business information. On March 20, 2002, Cingular filed a Demand for Arbitration. Plaintiff had twenty days to respond but failed 10 do so. The parties have agreed upon a single arbitrator.

On March 1, 2002. United States Cellular Telephone of Greater Tulsa, L.L.C. v. SBC Communications, Inc., No. 02CV0163C (J), was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. SBC Communications, Inc. and SWB Telephone, L.P. ('SWBT") are defendants. The complaint alleges that because of land use (residential zoning) restrictions. the roof of a telephone building owned by Defendants is an "essential facility" to which Defendants have permitted access by an affiliate (Cingular) while denying access to Plaintiff. Cingular is not a defendant. Among other things, the complaint alleges that Defendants have violated § 2 of the Sherman Act by treating United States Cellular less favorably than Cingular with respect to the claimed "essential facility."

On or around August 23, 2002, an action styled Millen, el al. v. AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC, et al. was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Case No. 02-11689 RGS). Cingular Wireless LLC is a named defendant along with several other wireless companies. Plaintiffs seek to certify a class of wireless customers in the Boston metropolitan area. Plaintiffs allege that defendants market handsets and wireless services through tying arrangements and that defendants monopolize markers for handsets. Plaintiffs seek damages and injunctive relief under the Sherman Act.

On or around September 20, 2002, an action styled *Truong, er al v. AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC, et al.* was tiled in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (Case No. C 02 **4580**). This complaint is similar to the Millen complaint filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Cingular has not yet been served.

On or around September 27, **2002**, an action styled Morales, et al. v. AT&T Wireless PCS. LLC., et ai. was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas (Case No. L-02-CV120). This complaint is similar to the Millen complaint field in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Cingular has received service.

On or around September 30, 2002, an action styled Beeler. et al. v. AT&T Cellular Services, Inc., et al. was tiled in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (Case No. 02C 6975). This complaint is similar to the Millen coinplaint field in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Cingular has received service.

RESPONSE TO QUESTION 77

On March 7, 2000. In re Cellular Headquarters, Inc.; Cellular Headquarters, Inc. v. Comcast Cellular Communications. Inc., et al.. No. 00-1067, was filed in the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff. a current sales agent. alleges a breach of the terms of his franchise agreement due to changes in the commission structure for outside sales agents, the alleged failure to "promote" the sales force through advertising, and anticompetitive steps towards outside sales agents. Pursuant to a Consent Scheduling Order, the discovery deadlines and trial date have been rescheduled as follows: a settlement conference has been scheduled for November 1, 2002; and trial has been set for December 10, 2002.

On January IS, 2001, Westside Cellular, Inc. d/b/a Cellnet of Ohio v. New Par. Case No. 1:01CV0505, was tiled in Cuyahoga County, Ohio against the Cincinnati SMSA Limited Partnership ("CSLP"), AirTouch, Verizon, and others, for damages as a result of Defendants' alleged failure to offer to sell cellular services to Cellnet at the same rates as it sold such service to its retail affiliates. Plaintiff had previously obtained an adverse order on the issue of liability from the Ohio PUC against CSLP and AirTouch. A notice of appeal of the Ohio PUC decision was filed with the Ohio Supreme Court on June 25, 2001, asserting that the claims are preempted by federal law. Oral argument has been scheduled for November 13. This damages action has been remanded to the state court which has denied Defendants' request to stay the action pending the appeal. Trial is set for December 2, 2002.

On November 6, 2001, Valley Cellular Inc. v. Cingular Wireless LLC, No. A442136, was filed in the District Court of Clark County, Nevada. Plaintiff is a former exclusive dealer of Defendant's products. On behalf of itself and similarly situated persons, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant inappropriately converted Plaintiff's business for itself by, among other things, opening retail locations immediately adjacent to Plaintiffs retail locations. Plaintiff alleges breach of contract. fraud, interference with prospective economic advantage, and conspiracy, including unfair competition. In response to a motion by Cingular, on February 14, 2002, the Court ordered that the matter be resolved through binding arbitration pursuant to the parties' agency agreement. Although the Court declined to issue a preliminary injunction ordering Plaintiff to comply with the non-compete provision in the parties' agency agreement, it granted a preliminary injunction enjoining Plaintiff from using Cingular's trademarks and confidential subscriber and business information. On March 20, 2002. Cingular filed a Demand for Arbitration. Plaintiff had twenty days to respond but failed to do so. The parties have agreed upon a single arbitrator.

On March 1, 2002, United States Cellular Telephone of Greater Tulsa, L.L.C. I SBC Communications, Inc., No. 02CV0163C (I), was tiled in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. SBC Communications, Inc. and SWB Telephone. L.P. ("SWBT") are defendants. The complaint alleges that because of land use (residential zoning) restrictions, the

FCC Form 603 Exhibit B Page 4 of 4

roof of a telephone building owned by Defendants is an "essential facility" to which Defendants have permitted access by an affiliate (Cingular) while denying access to Plaintiff. Cingular is not a defendant. **Among** other things, the complaint alleges that Defendants have violated § 2 of the Sherman Act by treating United States Cellular less favorably than Cingular with respect to the claimed "essential facility."

On or around August 23. 2002, an action styled Millen, et al. v. AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC, et al. was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Case No. 02-11689 RGS). Cingular Wireless LLC is a named defendant along with several other wireless companies. Plaintiffs seek to certify a class of wireless customers in the Boston metropolitan area. Plaintiffs allege that defendants market handsets and wireless services through tying arrangements and that defendants monopolize markets for handsets. Plaintiffs seek damages and injunctive relief under the Sherman Act.

On or around September 20. 2002, an action styled Truong, et al v. AT&T Wireless **PCS**, LLC, et al, was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (Case No. C 02 4580). This complaint is similar to the Millen complaint filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Cingular has not yet been served.

On or around September 27.1002. an action styled Morales, et al. v. AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC., et al. was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas (Case No. L-02-CV120). This complaint is similar to the Millen complaint field in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Cingular has received service.

On or around September 30. 2002, an action styled Beeler, et al. v. AT&T Cellular Services, Inc., et al. was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (Case No. 02C 6975). This complaint is similar to the Millen complaint field in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Cingular has received service.